

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/989,976	WRIGHT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alicia M. Harrington	2873

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Alicia M. Harrington.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert Crouch.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Popovich WO 00/07058

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner was unclear to applicants intended meaning, in claim one, as it relates to the amended language stating "without producing a real image of the image generation arrangement" in the second mode. This was unclear for three reasons. First, in line 3 of the claim 1, applicant claims to view the images of the image generator when operated in either or both of two modes. Secondly, the specification provides a real image is used to produce the projected virtual and real images in the application. Thirdly, Applicant's remarks at page 8 state "Popovich produces a virtual image of a real image projected onto an intermediate screen". Thus, the applicant's remarks imply a real image source should not be used to produce a virtual image. Applicant meant for the language to provide structure of the invention that teaches projection of a image into a viewers eye to create the virtual image without using any reflective optical structures. Applicant agreed the amended claim language was unclear (indefinite) and the claim did not have sufficient structure to over come Popovich(WO OO/07058). Applicant will file an amendment to include the discussed structure .